



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846,065	04/30/2001	Samson X. Huang	INTL-0563-US (P11334)	4510

7590 05/31/2005

Timothy N. Trop
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
STE 100
8554 KATY FWY
HOUSTON, TX 77024-1805

EXAMINER

DHARIA, PRABODH M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2673

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/846,065	Applicant(s) HUANG, SAMSON X.	
	Examiner Prabodh M. Dharia	Art Unit 2673	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 February 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date. <u>04-05-02</u> <u>8-5-02</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2673

1. **Status:** Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted on 02-17-2005 under request for reconsideration has been placed of record in the file. Claims 1-11 are pending in this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-9,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. (5,073,010) in view of Fujioka et al. (2004/0046727 A1) and Oton et al. (2003/0122768 A1).

Regarding Claim 1, Johnson et al. teaches a method comprising: biasing a first plate spatial light modulator (Col. 10, Lines 11-26) with alternating signals of a first and second polarity (Col. 6, Line 60 to Col. 7, Line 9) and biasing a second plate of a spatial light modulator with only first polarity (Col. 10, Lines 23,24).

However, Johnson et al. fails to teach a drive circuit to apply positive potential during a negative cycle of liquid crystal modulation and apply negative potential during a positive cycle of liquid crystal modulation to said top plate and to bias the pixel electrode with only a positive potential during both the positive and negative cycles of liquid crystal modulation.

However, Fujioka et al. teaches a drive circuit to apply positive potential during a negative cycle of liquid crystal modulation and apply negative potential during a positive cycle of liquid crystal modulation to said top plate and to bias the pixel electrode with only a positive

Art Unit: 2673

potential during both the positive and negative cycles of liquid crystal modulation (page 3, paragraph 69, page 4, paragraph 76, page 6, paragraph 146, page 7, paragraphs 152-154, 156-158, page 10, paragraph 216, page 11, paragraph 243).

Thus it is obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to incorporate Fujioka et al. teaching in teaching of Johnson et al. to be able to guaranty correct execution of image signal accepting or importing operations to thereby improve the display quality of liquid crystal display elements.

Johnson et al. teaches a method comprising: biasing a first plate spatial light modulator (Col. 10, Lines 11-26) with alternating signals of a first and second polarity (Col. 6, Line 60 to Col. 7, Line 9) and biasing a second plate of a spatial light modulator with only first polarity (Col. 10, Lines 23,24).

Johnson et al. fails to teach same polarity during the negative cycle.

Oton et al. teaches same polarity during the negative cycle (page 4, paragraph 78, Page 5, paragraphs 78,90,91, Page 6, paragraph 101, Lines 7-26, paragraph 104).

Thus it is obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to incorporate Oton et al. teaching in teaching of Johnson et al. to be able to have display with pixels which are switched between active state to a relaxed state by applying appropriate voltage pulses to selected rows and columns of the electrodes and driving method is also applicable to silicon back-plane liquid crystal device.

Regarding Claim 2, Johnson et al. teaches biasing a top plate and a pixel electrode (Col. 10, Lines 11-26).

Art Unit: 2673

Regarding Claim 3, Johnson et al. teaches biasing said top plate to a negative voltage (Col. 10, Lines 19-26).

Regarding Claim 4, Johnson et al. teaches maintaining said pixel electrode at a positive voltage (Col. 10, Lines 38-50).

Regarding Claim 5, Johnson et al. teaches biasing said pixel electrode across its full dynamic range (Col. 10, Lines 38-50).

Regarding Claim 6, Johnson et al. teaches alternately biasing the top plate negatively and positively (Col. 10, Lines 19-26, Lines 38-50).

Regarding Claim 7, Johnson et al. teaches a spatial light modulator (Col. 5, lines 52-55) comprising: a top plate (Col. 10, Lines 21-24); a liquid crystal layer (Col. 6, lines 54-59); a pixel electrode (Col. 9, Lines 42-58), said top plate and said pixel electrode sandwiching said liquid crystal layer (Col. 6, lines 39-59, Col. 9, lines 42-65); and a drive circuit to apply positive and negative bias potentials to one of said electrode and said top plate (Col. 9, lines 42-65, Col. 10, Lines 19-26, Lines 38-50) and to bias the pixel electrode with only a positive potential (Col. 10, Lines 38-50).

However, Johnson et al. fails to teach a drive circuit to apply positive potential during a negative cycle of liquid crystal modulation and apply negative potential during a positive cycle

Art Unit: 2673

of liquid crystal modulation to said top plate and to bias the pixel electrode with only a positive potential during both the positive and negative cycles of liquid crystal modulation.

However, Fujioka et al. teaches a drive circuit to apply positive potential during a negative cycle of liquid crystal modulation and apply negative potential during a positive cycle of liquid crystal modulation to said top plate and to bias the pixel electrode with only a positive potential during both the positive and negative cycles of liquid crystal modulation (page 3, paragraph 69, page 4, paragraph 76, page 6, paragraph 146, page 7, paragraphs 152-154, 156-158, page 10, paragraph 216, page 11, paragraph 243).

Thus it is obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to incorporate Fujioka et al. teaching in teaching of Johnson et al. to be able to guaranty correct execution of image signal accepting or importing operations to thereby improve the display quality of liquid crystal display elements.

Johnson et al. teaches a method comprising: biasing a first plate spatial light modulator (Col. 10, Lines 11-26) with alternating signals of a first and second polarity (Col. 6, Line 60 to Col. 7, Line 9) and biasing a second plate of a spatial light modulator with only first polarity (Col. 10, Lines 23,24).

Johnson et al. fails to teach same polarity during the negative cycle.

Oton et al. teaches same polarity during the negative cycle (page 4, paragraph 78, Page 5, paragraphs 78,90,91, Page 6, paragraph 101, Lines 7-26, paragraph 104).

Thus it is obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to incorporate Oton et al. teaching in teaching of Johnson et al. to be able to have display with pixels which are switched between active state to a relaxed state by applying appropriate voltage

Art Unit: 2673

pulses to selected rows and columns of the electrodes and driving method is also applicable to silicon back-plane liquid crystal device.

Regarding Claim 8, Johnson et al. teaches a drive circuit to apply a negative bias potential to said top plate (Col. 9, lines 42-65, Col. 10, Lines 19-26, Lines 38-50).

Regarding Claim 9, Johnson et al. teaches wherein said spatial light modulator is a liquid crystal over silicon spatial light modulator (Col. 5, lines 52-55, Col. 6, Lines 39-59, Col. 9, lines 42-65).

Regarding Claim 11, Johnson et al. teaches wherein said top plate is formed of indium in oxide (Col. 6, Lines 54-59, Col. 9, Lines 42-65).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

a spatial light modulator comprising: a top plate; a liquid crystal layer; a pixel electrode, said top plate and said pixel electrode sandwiching said liquid crystal layer; and **a drive circuit to apply positive potential during a negative cycle of liquid crystal modulation and apply**

Art Unit: 2673

negative potential during a positive cycle of liquid crystal modulation to said top plate and to bias the pixel electrode with only a positive potential during both the positive and negative cycles of liquid crystal modulation; wherein said drive circuit applies positive and negative bias potentials in alternating frames.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Knapp (4,978,951) Matrix display devices with interconnected diode ring circuit providing fault tolerance.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prabodh M Dharia whose telephone number is 571-272-7668.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM to 5PM.

Art Unit: 2673

9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 571-272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

PD

AU2673

May 18, 2005

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Vijay Shankar', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

**VIJAY SHANKAR
PRIMARY EXAMINER**